

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/665,262 | 09/22/2003 | Shuichi Maeno | 03327.2306 5460 | |
| 7590 01/25/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, | | | KARLSEN, ERNEST F | |
| Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Washington, DC 20005-3315 | | | 2829 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 7 | 8 |
|---|---|
| V | ~ |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons | 10/665,262 | MAENO, SHUICHI | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ernest F. Karlsen | 2829 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2004. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4 and 6 is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,3 and 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . 🗖 | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) ite | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0504</u> . | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Claims 2, 4 and 6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and/or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on November 3, 2004.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

A claim should be written as a single sentence. Claim 1 is objected to as informal because of not being presented as a single sentence and because claims 3 and 5 depend therefrom claims 3 and 5 are objected to as informal also.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: No reference was found anticipating or a combination of references found making obvious an apparatus for measuring a charging voltage Vs on the surface of a substrate where the substrate is located on a substrate holding unit comprising, a measuring electrode forming a capacity Cs with the substrate wherein the measuring electrode is insulated from the substrate holding unit, a measuring capacitor forming a capacity Cm between the measuring electrode and ground, a voltage measuring unit measuring a measuring voltage Vm across the measuring capacitor, and a calculating unit for calculating the

, Å Application/Control Number: 10/665,262 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

charging voltage Vs in accordance with numerical expression 1 or its mathematical equivalent.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Geerk et al and Sakai et al are cited to show prior art ion beam control based on charge voltage. Fukuda et al, Miyoshi et al and Sarma et al are cited to show prior art techniques for determination of charge voltage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

January 24, 2005

ERNEST KARLSEN